Minutes: Lake Canyon Mutual Water Board Meeting



Wednesday May 17, 2023 7:00 p.m.

Held via Zoom Audio and/or Video Conference

Roll Call: Casey Farrand, Jim DiZoglio, Mindi Porebski, Ru Rajapakse, Sam Monga DD XXX ozyl Also in attendance: Bud Everts, Kirk Epperly, Aaruna Godthi, Melanie Cantu, John Cantu Ru called the meeting to order at 7:25 PM Consent Agenda: Financial, Water, Staff, Projects, and Maintenance reports (2 min) Sam makes a motion to approve the financial reports Jim seconds Motion Passes 4-0 Reports: Communication, and Correspondence Reports (10 min) Business [All] Recap Valley Water meeting on 2" line proposal and discuss any pending decisions (link to meeting notes) ☐ Valley Water wants us to come back after we have discussed our plans with the county. 🧘 County contact for open space real estate would also be able to provide feedback and guidance for our plans. ☐ Valley Water mentioned license yearly fees could be required when crossing valley water land. To be determined once we have our plans. On average, small projects are calculated at about 36 cents per sq foot. path, Valley water believes it's better to follow the CSD line No timelines were discussed, still in fact finding, when we are ready, we can use the SJW proposal to start the Valley Water process to help determine permits and fees. in start the Valley Water process to help determine permits and fees. in start the Valley Water process to help determine permits and fees. If we are approved to build on the land, we will propose a similar construction plan we followed to build on a new pipeline. Cost would then come down to design, our ☐ With Valley Water, submitting a draft plan for permitting will come first. They will then determine permit fees and costs for land use. This will allow us to feel our way along without a major investment upfront. Recommendation that Cal Fire 🔥 approve the plan, potentially provide a letter of suppone j St Recommendation to reach out to 7{Santa Clara County Firesafe for funding They may or may not have funding now, but could make them aware of this projec TOjk at Rep ok ktk do it go L Out Casey to help give context and Aa 9§OF ZZZruna to help make the connectio loln Fun ok ☐ Valley land goes to the first grwkTqzz OU a setate of the horse farm xxx







They also do not like the old baddy chill k2 sweet backing structures that furn
the length dryof the land*97
Ok Ok Wi ofWe are considered a small we okproject/community for May Members Meeting nex
k
□ Board reviews draft slides in the 5#7)1,) or aGoogle presentation and makes edits and updates as required
Community members have been added to the calendar invitation to seeaTXkcxl za so ty l,ok Oct 2etc kj xx zwroond an email has be_8en sent to further if see o it DUnotify the& in _?9k DD 49community
4(Mindi to bring reusable water containers
 Ru to get the TV and remote [™] ② ¬ from the water plant
☐ Mindi to check grill & propane
☐ Jim to make Costco run2_74
• [All] Fire lane compliance)9\$9_*9
There have been repeated instances of vehicles parking over the fire lanes at 19525 Beardsley Rd. This is a problem for fire vehicles and large vehicles]}}
Previous discussions with the homeowner by member("8s of the board and by other homeowners have already happene€d on this topic
☐ There are rentals at the home and it seems the information does not carry from tenant to tenant
☐ Action item to reach out to the %homeowner and suggest she paint or sign dedicated parking
spaces
Mindi and Sam to draft a letter to the homeowner about the problem and suggesting dedicated parking spots be painted or signed
 Recommendation to repaint the lanes, which previously have been questioned by homeowners, and the recommendation was to have the fire marshall walk the canyon to provide a more official recommendation
■ There is a PDF of the fire lanes somewhere in the Lake Canyon files
 Contact for fire walk-through is Mike Mathiesen mike.mathiesen@sccfd.org
☐ Board member who drives this every day has only seen this once in the last three weeks
New Business
Community Members Melanie and John Cantu share that they are moving out
They are surprised by the \$500 transfer fee and also believe that there is an inaccuracy in their meter fee
 Board discusses that there is a standard administration fee when the transfer takes place for any property
☐ Homeowner is surprised that the fee is not in the bylaws
Board member mentions that bylaws are not reflective of all fees, but they provide the board the ability to set fees
☐ The board has the fj <i>To 爲 t III do</i> time for employees
zoo osolf the homeowner has not paid for the meter that had been eEvery homeowner that has

sold their house since the meters were installed has been charged at the time ofxoy of oil szoo

	kx sale at escrow for the cost of the meter
	 Homeowner feels this is unfair to seecharge new homeowners for lawyers kand not the other residents
	☐ Homeowner believes their water usage bill is doubled at k
•	Homeowner pulls up details of them at RXD bill and believes that they have already paid for the last three months and should have had a negative balance
	☐ ❤️❤️❤️Neither treasurer nor bookkeeper are in attendance to verify
	☐ Homeowner often auto pays as 4czRa out CXto set amount, not as an exact entry iamoRunt of their bill, which sometimes causes negative or positive balance
	☐ This fee has been reviewed in the Ropast and is has been 3?)67sconsidered}\$#8
•	Homeowner requests details of wha t is included in the transfer fee
	Homeowner would like us to consider if this was fair
	Homeowner requests that board take action to have this pulled from the escrow
	Board cannot action or vote on an item not on the agenda, per the Brown Act
	☐ Board member reiterates that this fee is consistent in every home sold in the last 12 years of his experience, and this fee has been discussed and I'll ok You to reviewed
	 Also this is difficult since homeowner has been closing this deal for ten days and only learned today that this bill was coming
	☐ Board is unable to take action or vote on this item since it was not on the agenda
	☐ Homeowner reminds the board this is a chance to review policies, consider fairness and better communication, and how they land on the community
•	Community Member Aaruna Godthi mentions that the community has been Firewise certified and has
	received the signs
	☐ The committee would like this displayed at the community meeting
	Firewise committee is curious to know if LCMWC would be able to incur the costs for installing the sign
	 LCMWC already has an extra sign post, and materials costs are small and within the amount that do notrequire board approval
	☐ The intention was to get two signs, ideally one at the location where the other community signs take place (water level, community board) and one at the entrance on Black Rd.

Review Meeting Minutes from this meeting

Sam makes a motion to approve the meeting minutes Jim seconds Motion 3-0

Adjourn meeting at 10:17pm